

Overview
Help | FAQ
Tutorials
New/Noteworthy
E-Utilities

PubMed Services
Journals Database
MeSH Database
Single Citation Matcher
Batch Citation Matcher
Clinical Queries
Special Queries
LinkOut
My NCBI

Related Resources
Order Documents
NLM Mobile
NLM Catalog
NLM Gateway
TOXNET
Consumer Health
Clinical Alerts
ClinicalTrials.gov
PubMed Central

A comparison of triple-therapy with double-therapy immunosuppression in cadaveric renal transplantation.

Bowman JS 3rd, Angstadt JD, Waymack JP, Jaffers GJ.

Department of Surgical Transplantation, Wilford Hall U.S. Air Force Medical Center, Lackland Air Force Base, Texas 78236.

Triple-therapy (low-dose cyclosporine-azathioprine-prednisone) immunosuppression regimen was compared with double-therapy (cyclosporine-prednisone) in 91 consecutive nonrandomized adult cadaveric renal transplant recipients. Both groups were comparable with respect to ethnic diversity, prior transplants, and diabetes. The majority of patients with delayed function (ATN) were maintained on triple therapy, and the use of antilymphocyte agents was more common in the triple-therapy group (52% vs. 7%; P = 0.0001). Triple-therapy patients experienced increased acute rejection episodes (1.4 vs. 0.8 per patient, P = 0.03), required more courses of additional steroid pulse therapy (4.3 vs. 1.6 per patient; P = 0.001), and developed serious infections more frequently (37% vs. 15%; P = 0.05), especially CMV infections (17% vs. 0; P = 0.03), compared with double-therapy patients. However, the increased overall infection rate and CMV infection rate were observed only in those patients who received antilymphocyte agents compared with those who did not (46% vs. 21%; P = 0.02 for all infections, 26% vs. 4%; P = 0.006 for CMV). Additional steroid pulse therapy was associated with increased CMV infections (24% vs. 0; P = 0.03) but not with overall infections. One-year allograft and patient survival were equivalent in both groups. Exclusion of ATN patients from analysis did not alter the findings. This experience confirms the overall efficacy of triple-therapy immunosuppression in renal transplant recipients but suggests that triple therapy may be associated with more acute rejection episodes, greater immunosuppression requirements, and a resultant increase in infections, especially CMV.

PMID: 1312752 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

EXHIBIT

Display Abstract Show 20 Sort by Send to

Write to the Help Desk

NCB! | NLM | NIH

Department of Health & Human Services

Privacy Statement | Freedom of Information Act | Disclaimer

Oct 30 2006 07:27:22